Universal Fund, that based on my assumption of that, your company is providing half of the assessment and your customers are paying roughly half of the cost of discount, and customers of other carriers are paying the other half, is that correct?

A That sounds right to me.

Q So that if the overall value of the Schedule A discount was to be \$55 million, in effect 27 1/2 million of it would be paid by your customers and 27 1/2 million would be paid for by the customers of other carriers. Is that true?

A See, I'm not sure you can translate the effective discount rate from Schedule A to be equal to the effective discount rate from the Federal Program.

Q Well, assume for the answer, if you need any further assumption, that in every instance the Schedule A discount is more attractive than the federal amount available?

A See, that's my - - I guess that's my problem with the question. That's not a valid assumption because I would assume there were certain schools within New Jersey that would be

Million is returned to the Federal Return Fund, to Bell Atlantic, \$10 million of it will in effect undergo a round trip, if you will, from your customers back to you, but the other \$10 million would have come from other carriers' customers and eventually end up with your company, is that true?

A Sure, and I think that's a consequence of the way the fund is set up, yes.

Q Are you familiar with the concept of a revenue neutral rate adjustment as that term is used in your plans for alternative rates?

A Yes.

Now you would be free, would you not, under our plan at this time to propose a revenue neutral rate adjustment where you would cut rates to schools and libraries by \$50 million and increase other rates by \$50 million to make up the cost, isn't that true?

A Free to propose. Getting approved is a different issue. Without a fair amount of analysis, I'm not sure that would be a prudent revenue neutral to make in the first place.

Q And part of the reason it would potentially be objected to is that there would be no overall value to the ratepayers. Some ratepayers would be at an advantage and others would be worse off, there would be no overall positive benefit to your ratepayers, is that true?

A Well, I mean this is part of a revenue neutral company. You always have winners and losers. If you're going to say revenue neutral is disqualified on public benefit reasons because one or two or some small subjective customer pays more, you would never get a revenue neutral approved.

I mean, I think that calculus is a little simplistic, but I do agree with you on it, that situation would exist and might be a reason for disqualifying revenue neutral.

Q If you can look at Page 1, a piece of the Stipulation which is part of Exhibit 18, B1, AT&T-181, Page 1.

A Okay.

Q And you will see on the fourth line of the second full paragraph that phrase:

to pay for the discount. So, one could argue in totality there is no net benefit, someone else might argue there is a genuine societal benefit

23

24

A No, that's our position.

24

25

Q But if the school systems decided

look at all the moving parts. They have to look at the pre-discount rate and the post-discount rate and presumably would assume or presumably would select the best post-discount rate, and since all these discount rates are public, I would expect the carriers to react to that by setting the going-in rate or discount rates that are competitive.

Q Is your understanding that if the MCI proposal were chosen -- well, let me go back.

If MCI's proposal is chosen, what is your understanding of the amount that actually must be paid by the school?

A If I remember your example, they would pay \$160 and MCI would be able to secure \$40 from the Federal Universal Service Fund.

Q And if they went with your proposal for \$225, the school district would only have to pay \$100.

A Correct.

Q And you would get back \$125 from the Federal Universal Service Fund?

A I think \$112.50.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

My understanding is all these discounts both Federal and State are publicly available on the internet and other sources so there is no wink, there is no secrecy here. Everybody knows which discounts apply to which services.

And, do the Schedule A impacts on Obviously, yes. the market?

But you think that the Federal Fund should be obligated to pay you 20 percent of a price that was, in fact, never the price that was intended to be charged?

Well, the tariff rate is \$225. The customer is getting the service for \$100. So, it doesn't seem outrageous to me that we would go to the Federal Fund and in that example recover \$45.

The winner in this situation obviously is the school. That's the way it's set up. It's not set up to benefit telecommunication companies.

Mr. West, if a tariff price changes, is it your testimony that the proposed education rate will also change in parallel so

23

24

Well, no. I think in that case we would receive 20 percent of the CSP price and, to the extent that somebody else was "similarly situated" they would be eligible for the same CSP and we would go to the Federal Universal Service Fund with the same request for compensation.

Q Who applies for compensation, is it the school or is it the service provider?

A The school reports the contract for the deal that they have made. They pay the post-discount rate and then the Fund administrator makes the telecommunications carrier a payment out of the Fund.

Q So then you would have to inform the school of the lowest CSP that would serve, in effect, as the pre-discount price?

A I think that would be appropriate.

The lowest CSP for a similarly situated customer,

yes.

Q But that price may well be less than what you are proposing to the school in response to the RFP.

A No, I don't think so. I think in this situation the RFP would be the CSP price,

not the tariff price, and the CSP price would then be the basis for seeking compensation out of the Federal Universal Service Fund.

MR. LASKEY: I have no further questions.

COMMISSIONER ARMENTI: Let's take a 15-minute recess at this point.

(Whereupon, recess taken.)

COMMISSIONER ARMENTI: Mr.

Perkins, you are up.

MR. PERKINS: Thank you,

Commissioner.

Mr. Dennehy asked me how long I intended to be, I don't intend to be any particular length and, I started out this morning with no questions at all but, as we have established in this proceeding, one is easily confused and, now I am somewhat confused.

I'll ask you to assume with me as, in fact, the case that there are a number of buildings in the districts which are K-12 and so that all one must do -- let me rephrase that.

Would I be accurate in saying to

23

2 Universal Service Fund?

And what should my answer to that question in your view be, Mr. West?

A I think it's a reasonable question and the option needs to be explored.

Q And in exploring that, can I say to them, "Oh, no, if we have the \$100 rate, we then are not eligible for any further discount from that \$100 rate"?

A For that particular service because the discounts are alternative to one another, the answer is yes.

Q And I'm sure that I will be asked where does it say that, and perhaps you can give me an answer that I can pass on to the folks in my town who may be concerned about that.

A In the stipulation it says if a state or federal universal - -

COMMISSIONER ARMENTI: What page?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, page

6 towards the top, paragraph 2.

"If a state of federal universal service policy is established that price discount to schools and libraries for

services listed in Schedule 8, schools and libraries will be able to obtain whichever discount was greater."

And as I understand your testimony this morning, in response to questions from Mr. Pappalardo and Mr. Laskey, and let us assume we are eligible for, in our district for a 50 percent discount, in response to the question of can we still file an application for a discount under the Federal Fund, in your view I would presume you are saying yes, but that's really exclusive of this \$100 discount.

We either pay the \$100 under this or we go to the Federal Communication Commission and ask for a 50 percent discount from the \$225 rate as set out in the tariff.

And thus, we would wind up paying \$112.50. Would that be an accurate response to the question?

A I don't think so. I think there is a third alternative where you report the 225 as the prediscount rate. You denote that you're opting to receive service under Schedule A so that Bell Atlantic would receive, I guess,

2 112.50.

And because you opted to go with Bell Atlantic, who has the ability to provide these Schedule A discounts, that particular service would be provided to you at \$100.

Q I would expect that in response to such a proposition our school board attorney might say to me something like: "Ray, there's really two things I see wrong with that. One, the rate where reporting to the Federal Communication Commission is really not the rate that we can obtain. And I'm concerned that we might be committing some sort of a fraud upon the Federal Communication Commission. And two, why should we fill out the application at all if we can get the \$100?"

And how do I respond to those questions from you to the Board's attorney?

A The first question I can't help you with now, not being an attorney myself.

The second question, I think can be answered as follows: It doesn't seem likely to me in providing educational technology to your school and school district and the only service